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## Proceedings

CHAIR SCALZO: I'd like to call the meeting of the Zoning Board of Appeals to order. The order of business this evening are the public hearings. The procedure of the Board is that the applicant will be called upon to step forward, state their request and explain why it should be granted. The Board will then ask the applicant any questions they may have, and then any questions or comments from the public will be entertained. We are running a hybrid meeting. The applicants and representation are here with us in person. The public has the opportunity to comment from the Zoom platform. The Board will consider the applications in the order heard and try to render a decision this evening, but may take up to 62 days to reach a determination.

I would ask that if you have a ce11 phone to please turn it off or put it on silent. And when speaking, speak directly into the microphone. This is being recorded. People wearing masks, it makes it exceptionally difficult to hear them. So not everyone talks as loud as me, but I'm going to ask you to.

Ro11 cal1, please.
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MS. JABLESNIK: Darrell Bell is
participating via Zoom.
Greg Hermance?
MR. HERMANCE: Here.
MS. JABLESNIK: Richard Levin?
MR. LEVIN: Present.
MS. JABLESNIK: Anthony Marino?
MR. MARINO: Here.
MS. JABLESNIK: John Masten?
MR. MASTEN: Here.
MS. JABLESNIK: John MCKelvey?
MR. MCKELVEY: Here.
MS. JABLESNIK: Darrin Scalzo?
CHAIR SCALZO: Here.
MS. JABLESNIK: Also present is David Donovan, our attorney, and our stenographer this evening is Kari Reed.

CHAIR SCALZO: Welcome, good evening. Okay. If you could all please rise for the Pledge, Mr. Marino, you're closest, you can lead us, please.
(Pledge of Allegiance.)
CHAIR SCALZO: Okay, our first applicant this evening is Jean Lubera and Frank

Tirabosco, 71 williams Avenue in Newburgh, seeking area variances of lot depth and rear yard setback to build a new two story single family residence.

MS. JABLESNIK: This applicant sent out 50 notices.

CHAIR SCALZO: Five zero?
MS. JABLESNIK: Five zero.
CHAIR SCALZO: Thank you very much. That's a lot of mailings. Very good. So we --

MR. MCKELVEY: Do you mean to pull that mic down?

CHAIR SCALZO: Yeah, when we get there. The members of the Zoning Board of Appeals are obligated by position to go and visit every site. So we have all seen it. I saw it once earlier in the week and then I saw it again today, and boy it looks a lot different without the trees, that's for sure.

MR. TIRABOSCO: Yeah.
CHAIR SCALZO: So, as I say, you're seeking area variances for lot depth and a rear yard setback to build a two story single family residence. We have your application, we have
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your survey, and we have your proposed plans. Is there anything that you would like to add to that?

MS. LUBERA: We -- no. I mean, we lived on Williams Avenue for seven years, we actually just built our house on williams Avenue to stay on the block and built this house because it's just a wonderful neighborhood. It's, the block, the lot is about the same size as all the other islands, and as you go around, around the circle they're all the same lot depth, 100 feet. So we just saw an opportunity to have a little bit of a larger home than what we had, we had a small house on the block.

CHAIR SCALZO: Very good. As I say, we have all cruised around the neighborhood, and I can concur. The inside island, it's not a lot of depth but it's awful lot of width.

Looking at, we did receive some correspondence today on this, Siobhan, I'm sorry if I might have misplaced it.

MS. JABLESNIK: I believe it was right here.

CHAIR SCALZO: we had it from one
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neighbor, which actually we'11 get to that when we get to the public hearing portion, opening it to the public. But you can hand that to me now if you'd like. Thank you, very good.

One of the things that I had happened to notice when I was out there is you are looking -- you're meeting your front yard setback but you're severely encroaching on your rear yard setback, right. The homes that are, the brick house to the right and then the other homes further away, they appear to be closer to the road than the 50 foot setback. Have you investigated that at all? I know I live in my back yard. And you're really reducing your back yard by doing that.

MS. LUBERA: We11, we, I mean, we're open to doing the front yard, yes.

CHAIR SCALZO: Sure. Your application says that you're going to hold the front and you're looking for relief on the back, all right, so that's an option.

MS. LUBERA: It was on7y because we thought there were going to be other houses. We thought they were al1 40 feet from the front, so
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that was -- we were trying to keep with what was already in the neighborhood.

CHAIR SCALZO: Okay. That's, I'm actually going to open this up to any members of the Board here. I'll start with Mr. Marino. Mr. Marino, do you have any comments on this application?

MR. MARINO: I would just ask if you give us the dimensions of the actual lot if you were granted the variance, what would it be, front and rear.

CHAIR SCALZO: Mr. Marino, from the plans that we have in front of us the lot is 185 feet wide and it is 100 feet deep.

MR. MARINO: Thank you.
CHAIR SCALZO: Thank you. The
architecturals are very nice. There is one house down at the end, it's not the loop, it's a cul-de-sac there, but that would probably be the most similar to what you're looking to do. Although the two story, there are no other two stories directly next to you.

MS. LUBERA: To the right there is.
There's a --
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CHAIR SCALZO: The brick house is a two story?

MR. TIRABOSCO: No.
MS. LUBERA: No. The other side is a bi-level ranch.

CHAIR SCALZO: Oh, okay.
MS. LUBERA: And across the street from
them is --
CHAIR SCALZO: A bi-level ranch is a
story and a half to me.
MS. LUBERA: Sorry, sorry.
CHAIR SCALZO: That's okay.
MR. DONOVAN: That's another story.
CHAIR SCALZO: Mr. MCKe7vey, do you have comments on the application?

MR. MCKELVEY: No.
CHAIR SCALZO: Very good.
Mr. Levin.
MR. LEVIN: I thought it was interesting, I was there when you were taking down trees or doing something, and I was scooting around trying to get out of the way. I think it's lovely out there, I do.

CHAIR SCALZO: Okay. Any comments with
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regards to the variances that they're requesting?
MR. LEVIN: No.
CHAIR SCALZO: Mr. Hermance?
MR. HERMANCE: I had the same thoughts of possibly moving the house towards the front of the lot more because, as you can see, the neighbor's house is close to the property line too. with the two story house you might be looking right in on them, so.

MR. TIRABOSCO: Yeah, we would definitely be interested in moving it forward. The only reason we applied for the rear variance is because we thought that would be simpler and easier to conform with everyone else.

CHAIR SCALZO: I understand. okay, very good.

Mr. Masten?
MR. MASTEN: I have nothing.
CHAIR SCALZO: Nothing, nothing to add.
okay. Now, and again, I just rendered an opinion here about the front yard setback. The application does not request that. So I kind of stepped out of my lane, if you will, counselor, but $I$ guess we can get to that in a
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short bit.
A11 right, so at this time I'd like to open it --

MR. HERMANCE: what about Mr. Bell?
CHAIR SCALZO: Oh, geez, Mr. Bell, I'm sorry, I didn't see you. The box is very smal1 where you are.

MR. BELL: We11, actually you covered, actually you covered the question that I sort of had, I was trying to see if it could come forward in some sort of way.

CHAIR SCALZO: Okay. Thank you very much, Mr. Bell.

At this time, actually, before 1 open it up to the public, we did receive one piece of correspondence here from Howe 11 Brown, who indicates that a variance request was previously rejected for this property a few years ago. What circumstances or zoning laws have changed that would make approval this time possible? And we had pulled the original application from this, I believe it was 2007 or 2008. This was not denied. It was actually withdrawn by the applicant. So there was no determination or
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decision made for this application in 2007 or 2008, whatever year it was.

That being said, now I'11 open it up to any members of the public that wish to comment on this application.

And Siobhan, if you could instruct them, please. Raise your hands and we'11 call on you.

MS. JABLESNIK: Are any members of the public here to speak about this application, 71 Williams Avenue?
(No response.)
MS. JABLESNIK: No, nothing. No.
CHAIR SCALZO: Okay. Well,
MR. DONOVAN: So Mr. Chairman, relative to the issue of whether or not they could slide the house forward, obviously that would result in another variance.

CHAIR SCALZO: Correct.
MR. DONOVAN: which would be a front yard as well as a rear yard variance. Now, we've advertised for a yard variance so I don't have an issue adding one if the Board thought that that was or the applicants were agreeable to that.
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What I don't know, and I haven't been to the site, is there any topographic issues?

CHAIR SCALZO: It's a very flat lot.
Actually, you know, one question, because it's a flat lot, $I$ don't know if you have a full basement planned or not, but I'd really be curious as to where you're going to drain your footing drains.

MR. TIRABOSCO: You should have the plans there.

MS. LUBERA: Our, I was going to say, can we have our architect speak with you? I don't know.

MR. LICHWICK: Yes, there is.
MR. DONOVAN: Do you want to tel1 us who you are so the stenographer can get it?

MR. LICHWICK: Hi, I'm Jason Lichwick. I'm the architect for Jean and Frank.

We do have, though it appears to be a very flat lot in the front, from the road it does drop back quite a bit towards the rear of the property. So the back of the house will be sticking up out of the ground quite a bit. So we plan to have, the site engineer has designed it
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so that we can drain all of -- keep the water
flowing in the exact way that it's been flowing all this time.

CHAIR SCALZO: Thank you. Now, you mentioned that you're going to have the foundation stick out of the ground quite a bit. That isn't going to raise any issues as far as building height, is it?

MR. LICHWICK: No. No. Yeah, like four feet.

CHAIR SCALZO: Okay, that's -MR. LICHWICK: Yes.

CHAIR SCALZO: -- that's reasonable.
Counsel, the other issue, before I open my big fat mouth, if we were to consider any type of front yard variance, we don't know how much variance that we would need to bring.

MR. DONOVAN: Right.
CHAIR SCALZO: So I venture to say we should just stay right where we are.

MR. DONOVAN: Well, you don't -- you know what you have, and I don't know whether we would have, just in case, anything with the sanitary system or not.
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CHAIR SCALZO: The sanitary system
is --
MR. DONOVAN: Clay.
CHAIR SCALZO: Yeah, that's not -- that wouldn't be an issue. Help me out folks. It appears you have a porch.

MR. TIRABOSCO: Yes.
MR. LICHWICK: Yes.
CHAIR SCALZO: Okay. And the offset to the front is the porch but not to the actual house itself?

MR. LICHWICK: Correct.
CHAIR SCALZO: Okay.
MR. LICHWICK: Yeah. So if I can just add, when we -- we originally FOIL'd this project in that previous application that was just discussed and we saw at the time that the Board was pushing for that applicant to push that back and maintain the 40 feet in the front. So that's why we maintained that 40 feet. We have no issue if you guys are willing to allow us to move it forward. That would definitely give us more of a back yard.

CHAIR SCALZO: What's the dimension of
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your porch?
MR. LICHWICK: It's six feet deep.
CHAIR SCALZO: Okay. Again, I brought this up, I didn't -- I don't want to coach you at a11. I'11 look to the members of the Board. Any further comments before we look to close the public hearing?

MR. LEVIN: No, I have none, no.
CHAIR SCALZO: Then I'11 look to the Board for a motion to close the public hearing.

MR. LEVIN: I'11 make a motion to close the public hearing.

MR. MCKELVEY: I'11 second it.
CHAIR SCALZO: we have a motion from Mr. Levin, we have a second from Mr. McKelvey. A11 in favor?
(Chorus of ayes.)
CHAIR SCALZO: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHAIR SCALZO: Very good. A11 right.
Wow. All right, so, you know, we're going to approach this exactly as it was, as the application is presented.

MR. LICHWICK: Okay.
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CHAIR SCALZO: In this case this is a Type II action under SEQR, is that right, counselor?

MR. DONOVAN: That is correct, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIR SCALZO: A11 right. We will go through the area variance criteria and discuss the five factors we are weighing, the first one being whether or not the benefit can be achieved by other means feasible to the applicant. Well, the footprint could be smaller, that could be a way to do it; however, I don't know what that would do to the way the house looks. Any comments from the Board?

MR. LEVIN: No.
MR. MCKELVEY: No.
CHAIR SCALZO: Second, if there's an undesirable change in the neighborhood character or a detriment to nearby properties. As I say, when I was driving down williams it appears that there's a house down at the bottom of the street that probably would be similar to what they're looking to do here.

Mr. Marino, do you concur?
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MR. MARINO: I do, yes.
CHAIR SCALZO: Yeah, Mr. McKelvey?
MR. McKELVEY: Yes.
CHAIR SCALZO: Mr. Levin?
MR. LEVIN: Yes.
CHAIR SCALZO: Mr. Hermance?
MR. HERMANCE: Yeah.
CHAIR SCALZO: Mr. Masten?
MR. MASTEN: I do.
CHAIR SCALZO: Third, whether the request is substantial. Yes, it is. However, with the layout, the lot layout only being 100 feet deep, having a front yard setback of 40 feet, and a rear yard of, I can't recall what it is off the top of my head.

MR. DONOVAN: wait a minute, 16.
CHAIR SCALZO: We11, that's what's the -- yeah, the building envelope itself. However, the rear yard, is that --

MR. DONOVAN: The memo.
CHAIR SCALZO: The bulk table
requirements are there.
MR. DONOVAN: It's 40.
CHAIR SCALZO: Forty, okay. So yeah,
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that's -- it is substantial.
Fourth, whether the request will have adverse physical or environmental effects. Well, that doesn't appear to.

MR. LEVIN: I don't believe so.
MR. BELL: No.
MS. JABLESNIK: He said no.
CHAIR SCALZO: That's Darrell. Thank you, Mr. Be11.

And the fifth, whether the alleged difficulty is self created, this is relevant but not determinative. Of course it's self created; however, you can't zone yourself out of a, building on a lot in this case. They are constrained by the lot depth. If the lot was completely rotated where they had that depth available to them somewhere else. And while this is not a hamlet or a neighborhood like say Orange Lake is, that inside loop on Williams, every one of those homes are facing the same challenge.

Having gone through the balancing test of the area variance, does the Board have a motion of some sort?

MR. MASTEN: I'11 make a motion to
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approve.
CHAIR SCALZO: We have a motion from Mr. Masten.

MR. LEVIN: I'11 second.
CHAIR SCALZO: we have a second from Mr. Levin. Roll call on that? Mr. Hermance had his hand up but you can't hear that.

MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Bell?
MR. BELL: Yes.
MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Hermance?
MR. HERMANCE: Yes.
MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Levin?
MR. LEVIN: Yes.
MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Marino?
MR. MARINO: Yes.
MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Masten?
MR. MASTEN: Yes.
MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. McKelvey?
MR. McKELVEY: Yes.
MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Scalzo?
CHAIR SCALZO: Yes.
The motion is carried. Good luck.
MS. LUBERA: Thank you.
(Time noted: 7:24 p.m.)
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CHAIR SCALZO: Our second applicant this evening is Gregory Raciti.

MR. RACITI: You got it.
CHAIR SCALZO: Very good. 2 shire Ridge way, seeking an area variance of the front yard to keep a 10 ' by $16^{\prime}$ shed.

Mailings on that, Siobhan?
MS. JABLESNIK: This applicant sent out 20 notices.

CHAIR SCALZO: Twenty. You're not the winner so far.

MR. RACITI: Not yet.
MS. JABLESNIK: Two zero.
CHAIR SCALZO: It's going to be tough to beat the 50 .

MR. RACITI: I'11 try.
CHAIR SCALZO: Very good. Area
variance for the front yard to keep a $10^{\prime}$ by $16^{\prime}$ shed.

MR. RACITI: That's correct.
CHAIR SCALZO: AS I mentioned, we have
all been to the site.
MR. RACITI: That's incorrect.
MR. MARINO: where you are hiding it?
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CHAIR SCALZO: There is no shed there. MR. RACITI: The shed is hiding at a place where $I$ bought it from, and it's waiting there.

CHAIR SCALZO: Very good. And you are plagued with being on a corner lot.

MR. RACITI: Yes.
CHAIR SCALZO: So you have two front yards.

MR. RACITI: Yes. My ignorance, I did not realize actually when I had purchased the shed and I applied for the building permit I thought I was all good, and then when it got rejected I realized that being on a corner lot means you have two front yards. So I am learning during this whole process.

CHAIR SCALZO: Very good. If I have captured exactly why you're here and that's sufficient we can move forward, if you'd like to add anything to it?

MR. RACITI: Nothing other than, you know, the reason we had chosen that spot, it's really the, it makes the most sense to put things like a snow blower, portable generator.
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Otherwise, the only other proposed place it could be would be really all the way on the other side of the yard where it would be more visible to the rest of the neighbors and really not an efficient spot to have that kind of equipment, right. Where it's proposed right now is right on the edge of the driveway.

CHAIR SCALZO: Very good. Thank you, sir. We, last month we had a very similar corner lot, actually the gentleman was surrounded on three sides by streets. MR. RACITI: Oh wow. That's worse. CHAIR SCALZO: So he was kind of -it's tough to get away from a front yard app1ication on that, that's for sure. Ten by 16 doesn't seem outrageous.

MR. RACITI: No.
CHAIR SCALZO: It's going to be to the right of the basketball hoop or -MR. RACITI: That's correct.

CHAIR SCALZO: And, you know, as you're pulling in you have to look up, you know, it's quite the topography to even see where your shed is going to be.
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MR. RACITI: Exactly. I had a topographic map, it's about 16 foot, 16, 18 foot elevation from the street.

CHAIR SCALZO: Sure. And then with the trees there you won't even see it.

MR. RACITI: Correct.
CHAIR SCALZO: I have no other comments to that. I am going to look to Mr. Masten, do you have any comments on that?

MR. MASTEN: I have no comments.
CHAIR SCALZO: No. How about Mr. Hermance?

MR. HERMANCE: No. I thought I was going crazy when $I$ couldn't see the shed.

MR. RACITI: No, you're not going crazy.

MR. HERMANCE: But I got looking at the rest of the back and realized it's not on site yet.

MR. RACITI: Correct.
MR. HERMANCE: So I have no other comments.

CHAIR SCALZO: Very good.
Mr. Bell, do you have any comments on
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this?
MR. BELL: No. I'm glad we cleared up that there was no shed. No.

MR. RACITI: I'm a magician.
CHAIR SCALZO: Very good, thank you. Mr. Levin.

MR. LEVIN: I walked all over the lot looking for the shed, every place.

CHAIR SCALZO: Right now it's a miniature.

MR. LEVIN: But it's a big lot. It's a big lot and I think it will fit in there nicely.

CHAIR SCALZO: Very good.
Mr. McKelvey?
MR. MCKELVEY: No.
CHAIR SCALZO: No. How about
Mr. Marino?
MR. MARINO: I'm good with it.
CHAIR SCALZO: Very good. We have
gotten no comments, written comments from the public. So at this time I'd like to open it up to any members of the public that wish to speak about this application. Any member of the public here to speak about the 2 Shire Ridge way
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shed application?
(No response.)
CHAIR SCALZO: Very good. Siobhan, if you can mute them, please.

At this point any last comments from any members of the Board?
(No response.)
CHAIR SCALZO: No one is nodding or shaking, so we are going to look to the Board for a motion to close the public hearing.

MR. MCKELVEY: I'11 make a motion.
MR. LEVIN: I'11 second it.
CHAIR SCALZO: We have a motion from Mr. McKelvey, we have a second from Mr. Levin. A11 in favor?
(Chorus of ayes.)
CHAIR SCALZO: Those opposed?
(No response.)
CHAIR SCALZO: Very good. The public hearing is now closed.

This is a Type II action under SEQRA, correct, counselor?

MR. DONOVAN: That is correct, Mr. Chairman.
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CHAIR SCALZO: Thank you, sir. And we are going to go through the variance criteria. First of all whether or the benefit can be achieved by other means feasible to the applicant. The applicant is plagued with two front yards. He could put it in another spot, but he would kind of lose the convenience factor of being able to snow blow his driveway, which is where that shed is going to be, at the end of it.

Second, is there's an undesirable change to neighborhood character or detriment to nearby properties. I did see sheds on other lots in there.

MR. LEVIN: No.
MR. MCKELVEY: It's a nice, neat shed.
CHAIR SCALZO: Oh, yeah.
Third, whether the request is
substantial. No. Again, he's plagued with two front yards.

Fourth, whether the request will have adverse physical or environment effects. MR. LEVIN: No.

CHAIR SCALZO: No. And the fifth,
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whether the alleged difficulty is self created, which is relevant but not determinative. It is self created because he bought a corner lot. But he could throw it back in the left rear corner and then snow blow trails all the way out to the driveway and then snow blow the driveway and everything else.

MR. RACITI: Always a possibility, not convenient but a possibility.

CHAIR SCALZO: Sure. Having gone through the balancing tests, the area variances, does the Board have a motion of some sort?

MR. MCKELVEY: I'11 make a motion we approve.

MR. MARINO: I'11 second that.
CHAIR SCALZO: We have a motion from Mr. McKelvey, we have a second from Mr. Marino.

Can you roll that please, Siobhan?
MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Bell?
MR. BELL: Yes.
MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Hermance?
MR. HERMANCE: Yes.
MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Levin?
MR. LEVIN: Yes.
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MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Marino?
MR. MARINO: Yes.
MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Masten?
MR. MASTEN: Yes.
MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. McKe7vey?
MR. McKELVEY: Yes.
MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Scalzo?
CHAIR SCALZO: Yes.
The variances are approved. Good luck.
MR. RACITI: Thank you very much, I appreciate it.
(Time noted: 7:31 p.m.)
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CHAIR SCALZO: A11 right. Our next applicant is Commercial Industrial Commercial Corp for CPK Union, 1217 Route 300, Newburgh, seeking an area variance of the front yard to build a $23^{\prime}$ by 14' addition. Mailings on this, Siobhan?

MS. JABLESNIK: This applicant sent out eleven notices.

CHAIR SCALZO: Eleven?
MS. JABLESNIK: Eleven.
CHAIR SCALZO: Well, I guess in that big commercial strip there --

MS. JABLESNIK: They got off easy.
CHAIR SCALZO: -- not a lot. So because this is commercial in nature, I'm actually going to let you present completely. So if you could start by introducing yourselves.

MR. FLYNN: Hi. My name is Joseph Flynn. I'm the general contractor. This is Nick.

MR. CITERA: Hi. I'm one of the owners.

MR. FLYNN: This is an addition to Cosimo's Restaurant.
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## CHAIR SCALZO: Correct.

MR. FLYNN: So the bar area is tight. I'm sure everybody here has been there a few times. It's very tight. They'd like to push it out to, I forget what the dimension was, but just to create a little bit more space inside the bar area.

CHAIR SCALZO: Okay. Very good. I'm sorry, I stopped you.

MR. FLYNN: No, that's fine.
CHAIR SCALZO: If you want to continue go right ahead.

MR. FLYNN: No, that's it.
CHAIR SCALZO: Very good.
Pushing it out where the bar area is, how far out past from the current seating area will that be?

MR. FLYNN: Oh, the exterior seating area?

CHAIR SCALZO: Yes.
MR. FLYNN: It will be within that area.

CHAIR SCALZO: Okay. So you're not going to exceed that limit at all?
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MR. FLYNN: No.
CHAIR SCALZO: A11 right. I really, I mean it's already there --

MR. FLYNN: Right.
CHAIR SCALZO: -- sort of. So I had no comments on this, so I am going to jump to Mr . Be11. Do you have any comments on this?

MR. BELL: We11, the on7y comment, the on7y question $I$ had is that if you're coming out, so we're talking about coming out the doors; correct?

CHAIR SCALZO: Not the double doors. You're going to break through the masonry wall?

MR. FLYNN: The bar, the bar area itself, yes.

CHAIR SCALZO: Okay. And --
MR. BELL: Oh, I see. The bar area is where the window, where the window is.

MR. FLYNN: Yes.
MR. BELL: Okay. okay. Now, when you come out there, what is the walkway going to appear to be like for those who need to get past the other businesses area? Are they going to have to walk out to the drive area or how is
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that going to be?
MR. FLYNN: Yes, if you'd like to.
MR. CITERA: It's going to be two separate patios. So the bump out will be like in the middle, and then there will be a little patio area to the right and a little patio area to the left.

MR. BELL: Okay. I didn't visualize it, okay. A11 right, thank you.

MR. CITERA: Yeah.
CHAIR SCALZO: Mr. Masten?
MR. MASTEN: I have no questions on
that.
CHAIR SCALZO: Okay. Mr. Hermance?
MR. HERMANCE: No, I have no questions.
CHAIR SCALZO: No. Mr. Marino?
MR. MARINO: You mixed it up there.
Nothing.
CHAIR SCALZO: Mr. McKelvey?
MR. MCKELVEY: No questions.
CHAIR SCALZO: Mr. Levin?
MR. LEVIN: I assume this is not going to limit outdoor dining?

MR. FLYNN: No.
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MR. CITERA: It's going to be about the same.

MR. FLYNN: Yeah, the outdoor dining will be the same.

CHAIR SCALZO: Will there be an entrance to the bar from the exterior?

MR. CITERA: No.
CHAIR SCALZO: On7y to the dining area?
MR. CITERA: The entrance -- yeah. The way it is now, the same entrance will be in place.

CHAIR SCALZO: Okay. And the stone wal1 that's currently separating the parking and the drive through area, will that remain?

MR. CITERA: It's going to get al1 redone.

CHAIR SCALZO: Okay. But there will be a positive barrier?

MR. FLYNN: Oh, yeah.
CHAIR SCALZO: Yeah, I don't have anything more on this.

MS. JABLESNIK: This application went to the county and we did receive --

CHAIR SCALZO: okay then.
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MS. JABLESNIK: Sorry.
CHAIR SCALZO: Thank you, Siobhan.
It's been a long week but you got the key information.

MS. JABLESNIK: We are still good now though.

CHAIR SCALZO: A11 right.
So what Siobhan just said was anything that's on a state or county highway needs to be reviewed by the county. It's General Municipal Law 239, and we have to give them the opportunity to comment. They have 30 days to do it.

MR. FLYNN: okay.
CHAIR SCALZO: We have not heard back from the county yet; therefore, we cannot close the public hearing and we cannot vote on your application this evening. So, well, we are here and you have presented, so we know you're going to be back, but if there are any comments from the public that may come in, we'11 give you an opportunity to think about them and address them at the next month's meeting.

So at this point I'd like to open it up
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to any members of the public that wish to speak about the application here at the Cosimo's facility on Route 300 and Orr Avenue.
(No response.)
CHAIR SCALZO: we got nothing.
MS. JABLESNIK: No.
CHAIR SCALZO: Very good, okay. In this case I'll look to the Board for a motion to keep the public hearing open to the April meeting.

MR. LEVIN: I'11 make a motion to keep it open.

MR. MASTEN: I'11 second it.
CHAIR SCALZO: we have a motion from Mr. Levin, we have a second from Mr. Masten. A11 in favor?
(Chorus of ayes.)
CHAIR SCALZO: Thank you, Mr. Bell. We will see you gentlemen next month. MR. FLYNN: Thank you.

MR. CITERA: Thank you.
CHAIR SCALZO: Thank you.
(Time noted: 7:36 p.m.)
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CHAIR SCALZO: Our next applicant is Radhika Real Estate, 179 South Plank Road, Newburgh. They are seeking an interpretation of the ordinance for a new occupancy.

Siobhan, mailings on this?
MS. JABLESNIK: This applicant sent out 32 notices. And they also went to the county and we haven't received.

CHAIR SCALZO: A11 right.
So Mr. Millen, I don't know if you
heard what Siobhan just said, we have not heard back from the county yet. So while I would still like you to present, we cannot vote on this application this evening due to not hearing back from the county per the GML 239.

MR. MILLEN: Okay. So the question would be what we do this evening. Does that mean getting contacted by the county and getting an approval?

CHAIR SCALZO: So I apologize, I referred to you as Mr. Millen because I know you, but if you can just state your name for the recording, please?

MR. MILLEN: Jonathan M. Millen,
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1icensed 1and surveyor.
CHAIR SCALZO: Thank you. I apologize.
MR. MILLEN: No problem.
CHAIR SCALZO: So reading the application also, it's a former carpet place and now they're looking to cut stone, instead of cut carpet they want to cut stone, is that -- I don't want to say -- I don't to oversimplify it.

MR. MILLEN: We11, it had been, it had been used as a granite top facility for some years before, almost ten years. Currently they never went through the process to get a building permit that acknowledged that that's what their purpose was, what they were doing there, but they had been doing it for some time before.

CHAIR SCALZO: Okay.
Counselor, if I could lean on you in this case, where I'm going with this is they're seeking an interpretation.

MR. DONOVAN: Yeah, I don't understand what you're asking for the Board to interpret though.

MR. MILLEN: Okay. So what we're saying is that we are not manufacturing
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countertops. The countertops are manufactured somewhere else. Just like carpet, just like glass, just like anything out of these businesses that are in these zones are doing.

MR. DONOVAN: A11 right, so that part I have, al1 right. Code compliance has indicated that you're manufacturing so you're not permitted, is that kind of what they're saying? MR. MILLEN: We11, we don't think we're manufacturing.

MR. DONOVAN: I know. I didn't ask that question.

MR. MILLEN: Okay.
MR. DONOVAN: Is that what code compliance said to you?

THE ARBITRATOR: Pardon me?
MR. DONOVAN: Did code compliance
say --
MR. MILLEN: Yeah.
MR. DONOVAN: -- you're not permitted because we think you do manufacturing.

MR. MILLEN: That is correct.
MR. DONOVAN: So you're asking the Board to interpret, and the interpretation that,
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I can't make the case for you, but if you say you're not manufacturing, then you need to tell the Board what category you fit into. For example, retail sales, personal service, business, professional, research, eating and drinking place. So by this Board just saying that you are not manufacturing doesn't mean that you're a permitted use. You would have to say, you'd have to convince the Board that we are one of these permitted uses in the $B$ district. MR. MILLEN: Okay. So what we are saying is, just like the carpet that is in the $B$ zone right now that's in business, is selling carpet that is currently manufactured and then being cut to size and put in, we're not doing anything different than that. So I don't know. MR. DONOVAN: So what category do you fit into?

MR. MILLEN: I guess it would just be retail sales.

MR. DONOVAN: I don't want you to guess.

CHAIR SCALZO: And again, Mr. Millen, because we haven't heard back from the county,
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you're going to have the opportunity to find that information that counselor's -- that's, that's how we're going to go forward.

MR. MILLEN: Okay, I'm sorry, what would the county be allowed to request?

MR. DONOVAN: So what I'm going to ask you to do is take a look at the Town Code and the permitted uses in the B zoning district, okay, and there's a number of them, and then, again, I can't give you advice or tell you what to do, but it might not be a bad idea to say we fit into whatever specific category and we want the Board to issue an interpretation that we are use X , which is a permitted use in the B zone, we're not manufacturing but we are permitted use X, whatever that is; and therefore, we're entitled to get, make our applications to code compliance.

MR. MILLEN: Okay.
MR. DONOVAN: A11 right?
MR. MILLEN: Mm-hmm.
CHAIR SCALZO: Very good, okay. But as I had mentioned, Mr. Millen, we cannot close the public hearing this evening.
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MR. MILLEN: Right.
CHAIR SCALZO: But since you did
present, at this point we are going to open it up to any members of the public that wish to comment on this application.

Are they unmuted? I see a hand up.
MS. JABLESNIK: Go ahead, you can
unmute yourself. You have to unmute yourself.
CHAIR SCALZO: You have to unmute
yourself, sir.
MR. PATEL: Can you hear me now?
CHAIR SCALZO: Yes, we can.
MR. PATEL: Okay. Good evening, and thank you, Mr. Mullen. He represents us. Unfortunately I was called in for a meeting so I couldn't represent myself.

We keep going back and forth with this building plan, and despite our requests that explaining, as I said, we were told that this is not manufacturing and this is retail, they had said that they have already sent this application to the zoning department, so we have no choice but just to come here and that's where we are.

## Proceedings

CHAIR SCALZO: Okay. And sir, for the record your name, I'm sorry if you stated it?

MR. PATEL: I'm Mamta Pate1.
CHAIR SCALZO: Very good, thank you.
You know, I never asked the members of the Board if they had any comments on this. we'11 start with, Mr. Marino, do you have any comments on this application?

MR. MARINO: Not at all.
CHAIR SCALZO: No. Mr. McKelvey?
MR. MCKELVEY: No.
CHAIR SCALZO: Mr. Levin?
MR. LEVIN: Just one question. You said you're not cutting stone at the premises at this point, it's off at a different location, right?

MR. MILLEN: No, what I'm saying is that the intended use is to have manufactured granite tops that are delivered to this site and then cut depending on the installation that's required.

MR. LEVIN: Cutting at this site?
MR. MILLEN: Yes.
MR. LEVIN: Okay.
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CHAIR SCALZO: Very good.
Mr. Hermance?
MR. HERMANCE: So with that said, there's going to be byproduct from cutting the granite as opposed to just cutting carpet with how are they going to be dealing with that, is there a dust collection system or wet slurry?

MR. MILLEN: I can't comment on that because I'm not aware of the method that they're using.

CHAIR SCALZO: Right. And Mr. Hermance, the, if, depending on how this goes, should it make it that far, the Building Department would be the ones to --

MR. HERMANCE: Oh, they would.
CHAIR SCALZO: Code compliance would ensure that they meet all the requirements of whatever the code.

MR. HERMANCE: Okay.
CHAIR SCALZO: Very good. Mr. Masten?
MR. MASTEN: I'11 make a decision when we get the information from the Building Department and such.

CHAIR SCALZO: Very good, okay.
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I had already had it open to the public, we heard nothing there. Well, Mr. Millen, do you have anything else to add?

MR. MILLEN: No. I understand that we will come up with a protected, permitted use and offer an interpretation.

MR. DONOVAN: Yeah, It's just got to, like again, $I$ don't want to tell you what to do, but if you put a paragraph together that explains why you fit into a permitted use category, the $B$ zone, get it to the Board, you know, ten days, what's the cut off, Siobhan?

MS. JABLESNIK: Yes, like exactly two weeks before the next meeting, so I think that would be April 8th.

MR. MILLEN: Okay.
CHAIR SCALZO: Right. And Mr. Millen, because of a past use doesn't necessarily make this --

MR. MILLEN: No, I get it.
CHAIR SCALZO: So yeah, it's got to be independent. Very good.

MR. MILLEN: I was just trying to be clear that it had been used for that for a long
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time before.
MR. DONOVAN: But I think you have the picture.

MR. MILLEN: Other than your comment that it was intended to be used in a new fashion but it had already been used in that manner.

CHAIR SCALZO: Okay. Very good.
MR. MILLEN: My only comment would be something like a carpet or a glass manufacturer, then the subject can go before the Board because they're also, you know, manufacturing. I don't see the distinction between bringing something that's already manufactured and then cut it to size, other than your comment on how they're dealing with the dust and that sort of thing coming from it. But these other companies that are essentially cutting things to size for installation, glass, steel, carpet, were not being told they were not within in the zoning requirements.

MR. DONOVAN: Right. Well, I think code compliance has indicated that carpet wasn't approved. Neither here nor there, right?

MR. MILLEN: A11 I'm getting at is I
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would have been curious to see what use they decided on they were doing in order to be not in compliance with the zoning.

MR. DONOVAN: Okay.
MR. MILLEN: So far this is not --
MR. DONOVAN: I'm just suggesting to you that the argument to make is we are permitted use A because.

MR. MILLEN: Yes.
MR. DONOVAN: A11 right?
MR. MILLEN: I understand.
MR. DONOVAN: Very good.
MR. MILLEN: A11 right.
CHAIR SCALZO: Thank you. So I look to the Board now for a motion to keep the public hearing open to the April meeting.

MR. MCKELVEY: I'11 make that motion.
MR. LEVIN: I'11 second it.
CHAIR SCALZO: Oh, I got Mr. Hermance. So I got Mr. McKelvey made the motion, Mr. Hermance had his hand up.

MS. JABLESNIK: Hold on, Mr. Patel wanted to say something.

CHAIR SCALZO: Yes. Mr. Patel?
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MS. JABLESNIK: You have to unmute yourse7f.

MR. PATEL: Okay, thank you. So I just wanted to reiterate we did try writing a letter to the Building Department for a permit, and then the initial application was rejected on exactly maintaining what we just told them, because you can't say that this is not manufacturing stone. But it's already manufactured stones, they are just coming in and cut to the size and the cuts that they want. And they still rejected us a second time. So yes, we did try explaining what we are doing, and they said that -- we are requesting because of that.

CHAIR SCALZO: I'm not sure how to respond to that, but we, and just, Mr. Millen, you're aware of how we need to move forward?

MR. MILLEN: Yes. I think Dr. Pate1 is mentioning that they have gone through some effort in trying to explain what they were doing. But it's clear what we have to do now.

CHAIR SCALZO: Very good.
MR. DONOVAN: I believe you say this.
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That's the way it works.
MR. MILLEN: Okay.
CHAIR SCALZO: Okay. So we had a motion from Mr. McKelvey, we had a second from Mr. Hermance. A11 in favor of leaving the public hearing open to April?
(Chorus of ayes.)
CHAIR SCALZO: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHAIR SCALZO: No response.
Mr. Millen, we will see you in April.
MR. MILLEN: Okay. Thank you very much
for your time.
CHAIR SCALZO: Thank you.
(Time noted: 7:47 p.m.)
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CHAIR SCALZO: Our next applicant this evening is Daniel and Wendy Sch1issel, 22 Curtin Lane in Marlboro, seeking an area variance to the rear yard to build an 18' by $24^{\prime}$ deck. Mailings, Siobhan?

MS. JABLESNIK: This applicant sent out 18 notices.

CHAIR SCALZO: Eighteen notices, very good.

As I had mentioned earlier in the meeting, more than once, we've all visited, seen the property, we know what it looks like. There currently is a deck there where the applicants informed me while I was at the site that they are just looking to expand that deck dimensions, four feet out towards the rear yard and I can't remember how many feet off to the other side of the house. However, anyway, they're just looking to enlarge the existing deck, a repair, replace sort of thing, if I have captured what your application is, what your intent is here, great. If not, if you'd like to expand on that, go right ahead. But please introduce yourselves.

## Proceedings

MS. SCHLISSEL: Hi. I'm Wendy Sch1isse1.

MS. SCHLISSEL: I'm Dan Sch1isse1. And yes, you have captured everything.

CHAIR SCALZO: Very good. All right. I stood in your back yard and saw no neighbors, so I can't imagine that the character of the neighborhood would change much. You're pretty isolated up there.

MR. MARINO: Great rocks.
CHAIR SCALZO: Oh, great rocks, you know, everybody talks about your rocks, you know, so you might shine some lights them. MS. SCHLISSEL: okay.

CHAIR SCALZO: But Mr. Marino, do you have anything else?

MR. MARINO: No. Good location.
CHAIR SCALZO: Yes. Mr. McKelvey?
MR. MCKELVEY: Shine a light on the rocks.

CHAIR SCALZO: Yeah, everyone likes that idea I guess. Mr. Levin --

MR. LEVIN: No.
CHAIR SCALZO: -- anything about the
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rocks?
MR. LEVIN: No.
CHAIR SCALZO: Mr. Hermance?
MR. HERMANCE: No.
CHAIR SCALZO: No. Mr. Masten?
MR. MASTEN: Nothing.
CHAIR SCALZO: Mr. Bell?
MR. BELL: No, I'm good.
CHAIR SCALZO: No, very good, okay.
It's pretty straightforward here.
We're going to open it up to any members of the public that wish to speak about the Daniel and Wendy Schlisse1 at 2 Curtin Lane application, anybody wants to speak, raise your hand, turn your mic on, unmute yourselves. Have at it. (No response.)

CHAIR SCALZO: Okay, hearing none, I look to the Board for one last opportunity to speak about this application. I see a lot of shaking heads. Okay, very good. I look to the Board for a motion to close the public hearing.

MR. MASTEN: I make a motion to close the public hearing.

MR. MARINO: I'11 second that.
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CHAIR SCALZO: We have a motion from Mr. Masten, we have a second from Mr. Marino. A11 in favor?
(Chorus of ayes.)
CHAIR SCALZO: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHAIR SCALZO: The public hearing is closed. This is a Type II action under SEQRA. I'm going to go through the variance criteria, the first one being whether the benefit can be achieved by other means feasible to the applicant. Well, you know, the house is where the house is, and, you know, they want to expand a little more. The only other means feasible would be to keep that the same. But having -- I got no comments. I don't believe it's a big dea1.

Second, if there's an undesirable change to the neighborhood character or detrimental to the nearby properties. Standing where the deck is, I couldn't really see any other homes very well.

The third, whether the request is substantial.
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MR. LEVIN: No.
CHAIR SCALZO: It does not appear so.
You're not even going to crest, you're not even going to get to the stone wall, it's -- or the rock face, not even the -- there's a stone wall further up behind you.

MS. SCHLISSEL: Correct.
CHAIR SCALZO: Assuming that's your property.

MS. SCHLISSEL: Yes.
CHAIR SCALZO: Fourth, whether the request will have adverse physical or environmental effects. Nothing more there either.

And the fifth, whether the alleged difficulty is self created, which is relevant but not determinative. of course it's self created, but, you know, if you're going to live there and you want to have a bigger deck to grill on, so, I have nothing. So I'11 look to the Board, does the Board have a motion of some sort?

MR. HERMANCE: I'11 make a motion to approve.
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MR. MASTEN: I'11 second.
CHAIR SCALZO: we have a motion for approval from Mr. Hermance, we have a second from Mr. Masten. Can you roll that, please, Siobhan?

MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Bell?
MR. BELL: Yes.
MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Hermance?
MR. HERMANCE: Yes.
MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Levin?
MR. LEVIN: Yes.
MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Marino?
MR. MARINO: Yes.
MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Masten?
MR. MASTEN: Yes.
MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. MCKe7vey?
MR. McKELVEY: Yes.
MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Scalzo?
CHAIR SCALZO: Yes. Motion is carried,
variances are approved. Good luck.
MS. SCHLISSEL: Thank you so much.
MR. SCHLISSEL: Thank you.
(Time noted: 7:52 p.m.)
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CHAIR SCALZO: A11 right. We're moving on to applications that were held over from the February 25th meeting, the first one being Michae1 Lynn, 1 Lynn Drive in Newburgh. Seeking area variances for maximum allowed square footage, front yard, height and parking of more than four vehicles to construct a 30 by 46 by 18.4 accessory building.

As I had mentioned, this is a
continuation from the February meeting. I had asked myself for the architecturals, which did come in. We got correspondence from the applicant we got correspondence from someone who had been noticed before. Siobhan, do you, I know you handed it to me, but do you happen to have one with you?

MS. JABLESNIK: Just the one from today.

CHAIR SCALZO: Just the one from today? MS. JABLESNIK: Yeah.
CHAIR SCALZO: Very good. I will get to these.

Mr. Lynn, you put together some very nice stuff here for comparison sake.
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MR. LYNN: Mm-hmm.
CHAIR SCALZO: It was pretty easy to
follow. The initial sheet which, Siobhan, was this stuff posted on the website?

MS. JABLESNIK: Yes, it's on the website.

CHAIR SCALZO: Very good. So the public has had an opportunity to look at this. The first sheet is a color photo with the door, it shows the applicant's dwelling as -- which also includes the proposed accessory structure, the proposed new garage. There are indications with three arrows of other existing garages. The second page shows the dimensions of the structure, including the lean-to or wood shed as the applicant has referred to it in the last meeting. Actually the next, the following pages are all also architectural or at least renderings so we can see what it is that we're looking at.

I'm sorry, I'm going to stop right here. Mr. Bell actually had recused himself from this application at the last meeting. So Mr. Be11, you're out of this one. Because he's
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in a Zoom platform, counselor, what do we do, just have him mute himself or --

MR. DONOVAN: He can just stay where he is.

CHAIR SCALZO: You can stay right, don't change, stay how you are. So but Mr. Bell, we will not solicit comments and you will not be asked for any voting privileges or Board voting privileges for this application.

Mr. Lynn also, moving on to the next page, he, and again, great job, with an orange box 324 Lakeside Road is a 36 by 40 accessory building, approximately 630 feet from 1 Lynn Drive, didn't require a variance, but it was built in 1900 according to county records, and that's -- that's the preexisting nonconforming.

MR. LYNN: That's for comparison.
CHAIR SCALZO: Sure.
And then second, he mentions a 50 by 40 by 20 structure at 2 Black Angus Court, built in 2014, 1ess than 2,000 feet from Lynn. And then 364 Lakeside Road, which is approximately 21 -2,000 feet, built in 2017, required variances for storage of more vehicles, height and side
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yards.
So the -- I did a little digging, I asked Siobhan to check out some things for me, which she did. Just to confirm, your structure proposed as it is in the application, the building itself is 1,380 square feet and the 1ean-to is 312 square feet.

MR. LYNN: That sounds correct.
CHAIR SCALZO: Correct?
MR. LYNN: Yeah.
CHAIR SCALZO: All right. The lean-to. MR. DONOVAN: Can I interrupt just for a second?

CHAIR SCALZO: Yes, sir.
MR. DONOVAN: If, see the stenographer working hard over there? Let the chairman finish the question and then respond, so the stenographer can hear.

CHAIR SCALZO: I'm sorry, I tend to get, once I get rolling I speak faster. I will slow it down.

A11 right, taking them one by one, and there's in this case six of us because Mr. Bell is not going to be participating in this
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application. So I'm going to just give my observations and then I'm going to let the Board throw out theirs as well.

As far as comparisons, the structure built in 1900, that's, I kind of disregard that because it was preexisting, nonconforming, although it is a garage, so, or a building that people do see, all right. The structure at Black Angus Court, I pulled the meeting minutes from that. And while it is quite a large structure, it actually replaced two structures that were larger than it. So when this gentleman -- actually I have that, that was Jamie Inoning on Black Angus. And the applicant testified at a public hearing that the proposed accessory structure would not result in any undesirable change in the neighborhood, nor any detriment to any nearby properties in the neighborhood. The Board notes that the applicant's testimony that the accessory the structures he recently removed were more than double the size of the structure he now proposes. So the reason why this gentleman got that variance for that building is because he
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actually reduced the size of what was already there.

MR. LYNN: So I would just, again, the reason I put comparable up is because last time when we had this session it was brought to my attention by yourself that there were no comparable structures of that size anywhere within 2,000 feet if you read the last minutes meeting. But I wanted to bring to your attention during my research that there are within the neighborhood, and I have a bunch of other ones that I found more recently on Lakeside Road, that are comparable size. Again, just for the comparison of the fact you said there was nothing in the vicinity even close. So that's why I, again, just to bring it to the Board's attention.

CHAIR SCALZO: And that's excellent. The one that's closest to you I think is 24 by 24. I can't remember the name of the homeowner there. But so you did follow instructions, you go read the minutes and that's great. Sometimes applicants wouldn't follow up as much as you did, which is fantastic.
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You also mentioned a couple more that were down the street. One was at 364 Lakeside. The gentleman was Hustins. That is a substantial structure, it is closer to you. The Board had one no vote for that application, and I'11 let you guess who that no vote was.

There was an application right next to that, Mr. Abrams, who was looking for a, actually, I believe it was Charlie Brown, who's sitting in the back of the room, who was representing him, he did not get his variances because he had a substantial pole barn that he was looking to put up. So I'm just looking to give you a --

MR. LYNN: No, I know there's both, there's both sides.

CHAIR SCALZO: Sure.
MR. LYNN: Again, I wanted to bring to your attention what $I$ found based on what you asked for last time.

CHAIR SCALZO: And you follow instructions and that's fantastic.

So that was -- those were my observations. Just with the size, I'm not
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opposed to a structure in there, it's just your structure is very large. So that's why at last month's meeting I had asked if you were married to that as it sat in the application, and you indicated that you were. Therefore, I was just trying to put a little perspective on that. And the other garages, yes, Black Angus, great example because it is very close. The one at 364, great example. Those are my opinions. You've got five other guys that you need to get on board.

So in this case I'm going to let you talk in a minute, but I'm actually going to look to the Board for a couple of comments, and then we'11 let you address them all. So I am going to go down to Mr. Masten.

MR. MASTIN: I have no comments.
CHAIR SCALZO: No, okay, very good.
How about Mr. Hermance?
MR. HERMANCE: That's what I was going to ask you before, if he is still committed to the height, because it is a significant request for the variance.

CHAIR SCALZO: We11, height is -- we11,
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height I understand. I don't want to say I accept it but I understand it because of the size of the doors. And with those doors at, they're ten foot tall?

MR. LYNN: Twelve.
CHAIR SCALZO: Twelve foot. So
you're -- you know, I understand that. Again, not that I need to accept that but I understand why you're doing what you're doing. It's the, and I'11 say the square footage, could you get away with a single door, so narrowing that down. You have the ability to meet what code for coverage, you have that ability, it's just you're way over.

MR. LYNN: Right. So do you want me to speak now or --

CHAIR SCALZO: We11, hang on one second.

Mr. Hermance, were you done?
MR. HERMANCE: Right, it was the height and the width, and the lean-to adds to the square footage also.

MR. LYNN: Yeah.
MR. HERMANCE: So like Mr. Scalzo was
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saying, you could probably easily meet the requirements by a few adjustments. That's my on1y comments.

CHAIR SCALZO: Thanks, Mr. Hermance.
Mr. Levin, did you have anything?
MR. LEVIN: Nothing.
CHAIR SCALZO: Mr. McKelvey?
MR. MCKELVEY: No.
CHAIR SCALZO: And Mr. Marino, I'm going to start with you.

MR. MARINO: Yeah. Are there any buildings of any size on the property now that will be coming down if you get the area of the building you want to put up?

MR. LYNN: We11, right now so I only have the house and the smaller garage is there. I didn't intend on taking it down because I was still going to use it for storage of like my yard tools and stuff. I think I did say that at the last meeting.

CHAIR SCALZO: You did, yeah.
MR. MARINO: So nothing is coming down; correct?

MR. LYNN: Correct.
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MR. MARINO: And the building you're proposing is going to be further back by your property by your house?

MR. LYNN: Well, off to the side of my house.

MR. MARINO: Off to the side.
MR. LYNN: Yeah. So here, facing the house and to the right side.

CHAIR SCALZO: I saw that today, al1 right.

MR. LYNN: So you were asking if I was totally married to the exact design, and I was thinking about this over the, you know, we had a month between then and now. For me to drop the side lean-to, I mean, that takes 300 square foot off the footprint and, I mean, that -- you know, I don't know how small you're trying to -- the reason I wanted to keep the width is again, as I stated before, I want to be able to put the truck in there and the boat in there at the same time, so. Side by side would be nice.

CHAIR SCALZO: Okay. Your truck and boat trailer, total length on it?

MR. LYNN: So the trailer is 45 feet
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from end to end.
CHAIR SCALZO: Wow.
MR. LYNN: It's a 38 foot boat, so.
oh, and then my truck. The boat trailer, the boat is 9.3 on the trailer wide, so, and then the truck's a Ford 250. That's why I wanted the doors. If you look at it, it was only a ten foot wide door that I put on there. So we need two ten feet wide, a 12 foot wide and 24 foot of door space, and then just to make the building have enough front edge of the building to fit the door for a walk in on the front. That was where the width came from.

And I was also thinking about if I was to put the walk in to the side, $I$ might be able to reduce the width down and still fit the ten foot and 12 -- ten foot wide for the truck and the 12 foot for the boat wide. That would go down to 28 by -- 28 by 46 . Again, you know, thinking about what you were saying the last time, is there a way I can still achieve what I'm trying to do without -- you know, with reducing the footprint.

CHAIR SCALZO: And that's -- okay. And
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you're also, because the lean-to kind of kills you, because that is also an additional 320 --

MR. LYNN: Yeah, yeah.
CHAIR SCALZO: -- square feet. Is the lean-to, I know you said it's for wood, but I didn't, you know, I didn't pay much attention to it, but I didn't see wood when I was there last time.

MR. LYNN: Oh, yeah, because I didn't get my wood ready for this year. I have last year's wood. So I've got to get ready for next year.

CHAIR SCALZO: You've got to season that stuff.

MR. LYNN: Exactly. Get it split in the spring, it sits all summer and dries and it's good for the fall.

CHAIR SCALZO: I think, you know what, obviously you paid attention last month and that's worth it. what I'm looking at here is you said a bunch of things about what you may be willing to do. So we're going to, we're not there yet but we're going to get there.

I do have some written correspondence

## Proceedings

here which was from Alexis and George Koudounas over on Jodi Drive. They have questions, and 1et's see. Number six, if an area variance is requested it will not be in an area visible to the street or other houses. At the initial ZBA meeting on February 25th Mr. Lynn stated and emphasized that the structure would not be visible to our home on Jodi Drive, as it would be in front of his home. The plans that were submitted March 11th and posted online show the structure would be on the side of the home at 1 Lynn and 100 feet away, with the doors from the new structure facing the garage and the home, which would place it in direct view of our home.

Now, having been to the site, I could look right in at the Koudounas's back yard, I believe that's them.

MR. LYNN: Yeah, they have the -CHAIR SCALZO: Or are they behind your shed?

MR. LYNN: They're -- yeah, they -well, the shed and -- the shed is slightly behind the house, so they're the next lot behind my lot, so.
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CHAIR SCALZO: Okay. And then the second, you had mentioned your boat, and the question of the dimensions of the boat. And the purpose of the open area overhang. So we know the open area overhang, it's your intent to now store your wood there. And you just gave us the 40, I'm sorry, 38 foot length of the trailer?

MR. LYNN: Well, the trailer -- the boat on the trailer is 45 , that's why the length is 36 on the building.

CHAIR SCALZO: Okay. And then the third question, located in an area that is not in the line-of-sight of other homes. The structure will be in direct view from our property and home and will be viewable from several rooms, including living room, bedroom, bathroom as well as the back yard. There are no specifications in the post building diagrams of the property setbacks. Now, this does not show a survey and placement of the proposed structure on the survey. I thought we had one.

MR. LYNN: I did submit it, everybody on the Board got one.

CHAIR SCALZO: Yeah. And then the
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changing the landscape with the construction of an oversize structure, it has a larger footprint than the home on Lynn.

All right, so I've done an awful lot of talking, now I'11 let you talk. MR. LYNN: So when you were asking about, I think -- I think maybe you were, when you said behind my shed was there, so that would be where Koudounas is, behind it. But it's the other house to my right that isn't -- that would be -- I don't know, it's hard to say if you were there. There's two, there's two houses on each side. They're both through wooded areas and they're both pretty far away. So I have to, I mean, I have the dimensions from, I used Google Earth and you can measure things out on there because it's satellite images. So approximately, the new garage, the new proposed garage is approximately 293 feet from Koudounas's house. So that's the distance. I mean, whether you see the side of a house or the side of a garage, it's the side of a building. I mean, that's what it is. I don't know what more to do with that, I mean.
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CHAIR SCALZO: I wonder --
MR. LYNN: If somebody -- you know, you will see it I guess somehow, you can see my house, you can see the siding on the house, it's siding on the garage.

CHAIR SCALZO: Sure. Very good. I'm going to actually look to the Board and just kind of continue a little bit.

Mr. Marino, do you have anything?
MR. MARINO: Just one last question.
When you come down the driveway past your brother's house, when you get to the back yard there's a sharp right, there's a house right there. Who lives there?

MR. LYNN: The -- let's see,
immediately -- oh, on the side, that would be Mark and Maribe1 Garris.

MR. MARINO: A11 right. So they're not the ones --

MR. LYNN: No.
MR. MARINO: Okay.
CHAIR SCALZO: Just so I'm clear, because at one point when I stood where I think your metal building is going to go and I was

Proceedings
looking directly south, I thought that was the house that Koudounas has. Am I wrong, is it both your back deck and your house now, is that where their home is?

MR. LYNN: Yeah. So off the back deck of my house, yes, you would be in that direction off the back deck of my house, correct.

CHAIR SCALZO: okay. So but on the map prepared by Bill Hildris it's showing Koudounas owns both sides.

MR. LYNN: Yeah, he has a strip between the other house and my property.

CHAIR SCALZO: Okay.
MR. LYNN: But his house is not on that one side. I can point it out to you on the map if you want.

CHAIR SCALZO: Oh, no, I got it now.
It's just the tax map and just that one section of the tax map, if it was expanded a little bit I would be able to understand a little better. Yeah, that's there. Thank you, Mr. Marino.

Mr. McKelvey?
MR. McKELVEY: Nothing.
CHAIR SCALZO: Mr. Levin?
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MR. LEVIN: Nothing.
CHAIR SCALZO: Mr. Hermance?
MR. HERMANCE: I think you may have already answered this, but do you plan on running electric to this garage?

MR. LYNN: Yeah, electric, yes.
Nothing else.
MR. HERMANCE: And the lighting would be?

MR. LYNN: Interior only.
MR. HERMAN: Nothing on the exterior? MR. LYNN: No.

MR. HERMANCE: Okay, that's all I have. CHAIR SCALZO: All right. I'm going to go back to the one that he approved in June of 2017. My predecessor, Jim Manley, always brought up some great points. And one of the concerns that he had, that still applies to this today and us here, always his concern, not necessarily with the individual that's going to use the garage today, is what happens tomorrow in the future. Because once it's built and they're -- and this individual decides they're going to retire and move to Florida, sells
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whatever it is that you are going to keep in there, your boat, or maybe you take it with you, who knows, next thing you know somebody comes in and decides that they want to run a business out of it. So, you know, you're standing here today asking for this relief for a variance, and we would be remiss to not think of when you're gone. Because when you're, you know, you got it here, not that you're setting a precedent should this go the way you want, but now it's the next guy that comes in that's going to point at your shed and say we11, you gave it to him. So that's part of it. The other part, again, is when you leave, what that could be used for. And it becomes an enforcement issue for the town code compliance and it becomes difficult for them to maintain as well. So that's yet another consideration here.

Mr. Hermance, were you done with
your --
MR. HERMANCE: Yes, I was done.
CHAIR SCALZO: And actually you and I both in the last meeting, and this does not necessarily apply to your application, but we
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noticed this little block with this salt -MR. LYNN: with a roof over it.

CHAIR SCALZO: Yeah, we11, a torn roof over it. Maybe it's -- I didn't go back to the site, but, and you indicated that it's not yours.

MR. LYNN: Correct. It's not my
property either.
CHAIR SCALZO: It's not your property either, but to access that you have to drive over your property.

MR. LYNN: Yeah.
CHAIR SCALZO: So it becomes an -- I don't know if you want to hang no trespassing signs and let your brother know he can't do that anymore.

MR. LYNN: We11, just to address that since you brought that up, I've talked to him and he is moving it out of there just because he knows, you know, we talked about it now, you know, he's going to take it out of there, so. But yeah, that will be out of there. It's not even on my property.

CHAIR SCALZO: No, no.
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MR. LYNN: Again, it's family. So I talked to him and he --

CHAIR SCALZO: It's -- yeah, I understand.

Mr. Masten?
MR. MASTEN: I have no questions on it.
CHAIR SCALZO: Okay. At this point we're going to open it up to any members of the public to speak about this application. If anybody wants to speak on the Lynn Drive application, please raise your hand and we will get to you.

MS. JABLESNIK: Okay.
MR. GARRIS: Hello?
CHAIR SCALZO: Okay, I heard somebody.
MR. GARRIS: Do you hear me?
CHAIR SCALZO: Yes.
MR. GARRIS: Hi. I'm Mark Garris.
MS. GARRIS: I'm Maribel Garris. We're the property owners at 310 Lakeside Road. This May will be seven years.

We have absolutely no problem on them building a garage on their property. We feel that building a garage would actually contain
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most of their items and give a neat appearance to the property and surrounding areas. His equipment is not for commercial use but for personal property maintenance, which in fact Mike actually uses -- uses it for our property maintenance as we11. Our homes are actually 75 feet exact from each other. We would actually be the neighbors who would be the most affected. And we have never experienced any loud noises or light inconveniences at inconsiderate times. We feel that in no way will building a garage impede on our property value nor diminish our ability to enjoy our property to the fullest.

I'd like to add that when you purchase a property, it will be assumed that you will live there for 30 years. And it would be really nice if everyone would be civil and neighborly to each other, one another. Since we've lived here our experience with the Lynn family has been nothing but positive and a pleasure to have them as neighbors. Ultimately if the Lynns want to build a garage, then they should be able to do so to protect their personal property. And we definitely don't see it as an eyesore.
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Mike is a firefighter who belongs to our local firehouse, assisting with saving lives and giving his personal time to help keep people in our community safe. And if he wants to build a garage, then we are all for it. We absolutely see no problem in it. We're so close where we can see his home, and it's not a problem to us. I think that it would actually benefit our properties. You know, they keep it very neat and clean. We have absolutely no problem with him building a garage there.

CHAIR SCALZO: Thank you very much. I just want to go back to something that you started off your conversation with, Mr. Lynn cut your lawn. Is that something that he does because he's a volunteer or does he charge you to cut his lawn, is he running a business out of there?

MS. GARRIS: No, no. He never charges us, ever.

CHAIR SCALZO: I wish you lived next to me.

MS. GARRIS: He'11 plow for us. That's what neighbors are supposed to do for each
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other, help each other out.
CHAIR SCALZO: Thank you very much for clarifying that.

MS. GARRIS: Mm-hmm.
CHAIR SCALZO: Okay. Anyone else from the public wish to speak about this application? If I can see you and you're on video and you'd like to speak, please raise your hand.

I'm not seeing anything.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Test.
CHAIR SCALZO: we got something. we heard somebody say test.
(No response.)
CHAIR SCALZO: Okay. Why don't we leave that open for a second there, Siobhan. And any other comments from the Board here?
(No response.)
CHAIR SCALZO: Al1 right, Mr. Lynn, I'm going to go back to you then. You had mentioned your willingness to start tearing this thing down a little bit.

MR. LYNN: Yeah.
CHAIR SCALZO: So what are we talking about here?
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MR. LYNN: So what I was saying was when I looked at it, like you said, you take the 1ean-to, cut that off, that cuts off the width about 300 something square foot, all right. And I looked at the front of the building and said okay, well, I could squeeze another two foot down off the front of the building and still retain the side doors I need by moving the walk in to the side of the building rather than on the front of the building. That would allow me to squeeze the size of the width of the building down. which I think would bring me down to maybe like 1,100 and change square foot or something like that.

CHAIR SCALZO: A11 right.
MR. LYNN: I think that's what I calculated out.

CHAIR SCALZO: You know what, though, can you give me what the front dimensions of the garage doors are now without the access door, what would that dimension be?

MR. LYNN: Without, you're saying like what is on your plan there?

CHAIR SCALZO: Yeah, because I'm
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looking at the 12 foot door, the ten foot door, and then I'm assuming a 36 inch access door.

MR. LYNN: Thirty-six, yeah, so it was
30 wide.
CHAIR SCALZO: Oh.
MR. LYNN: Thirty foot was the whole --
CHAIR SCALZO: A11 right, so it was 30. MR. LYNN: Yeah.

CHAIR SCALZO: So you're looking at your, I'11 say your offer, 30 less three, is that what we're talking about here?

MR. LYNN: Two foot, two foot width, because that would still leave enough room to fit the other two doors, because you go a ten foot and a 12 foot, you've got 24 foot, right, so if the building is 28 , that gives you just enough room between the outside of the building and in between the two doors to fit it.

CHAIR SCALZO: All right. And then your depth needs to remain the same.

MR. LYNN: Yeah, correct.
CHAIR SCALZO: which is, I'm sorry, 40 --

MR. LYNN: Forty-six.
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MR. DONOVAN: I've got 1,388 .
MR. LYNN: Forty-six times 28 is 1,288.
CHAIR SCALZO: You did the math?
MR. DONOVAN: 1,288?
MR. LYNN: Right, 46 times 28 is 1,288. MR. DONOVAN: I just rounded up.

That's how I make money.
CHAIR SCALZO: You know what, and your, your offer is to at this point not construct the 1ean-to?

MR. LYNN: Correct, yeah.
CHAIR SCALZO: Okay. I appreciate your willingness to make adjustments. I have no more comments.

So at this point, Mr. Masten, do you have anything else?

MR. MASTEN: I have nothing else.
CHAIR SCALZO: Mr. Hermance?
MR. HERMANCE: I have nothing else.
CHAIR SCALZO: Mr. Levin, Mr. McKelvey?
MR. MCKELVEY: Nothing.
CHAIR SCALZO: Mr. Marino?
MR. MARINO: Nothing. I'm good with the adjustments.
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CHAIR SCALZO: One last opportunity to the public.

MR. HARAS: Yes. My name is Robert
Haras. Are you hearing that?
CHAIR SCALZO: Yes.
MR. HARAS: I reside at 316 Lakeside Road. And, you know, I calculated the square foot of the building with the lean-to as presented is like 1,692 feet. This is larger than the footprint of my house.

CHAIR SCALZO: That's correct.
MR. HARAS: A11 right. I was informed by the town last week that the town allows for up to 700 square feet of additional building in an R1 zone. I understand the owner's desire to put up a new building, all right. But, you know, his house was constructed, you know, with the existing zoning that was in effect. The property already has a detached garage of about 200 square feet. And there's no demonstrated hardship that has been indicated in the application. And, you know, these are not minor variances, you know. The variances requested are multiple and substantial, al1 right. I
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mean, I suggest that the owner construct a building that would blend into the community and conform to the existing town codes. Either that or for the town to revisit the zoning classification and amend the zoning to allow for these large structures. Thank you for your attention.

CHAIR SCALZO: Thank you. Sir, did you hear, the applicant has offered to reduce the size of the structure to 28 by 46 and the lean-to would not be installed? He's looking at a total of 1,288 square feet. At this point that's the discussion that's occurred during this public hearing, or did you hear those comments from the applicant?

MR. HARAS: Yes, sir, I heard that. I'm just thinking that, you know, he should just comply with the town, with the existing town codes. That's my comment.

CHAIR SCALZO: Okay. The maximum square foot is 1,000, but that's all accessory structures. Now, he has an additional shed there existing, 312. He's proposing, well, now it's 1,288 instead of 1,692 . So give me one
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second here.
Yeah, instead of -- his variance percentage dropped 9.2 percent.

Anyone else from the public wish to speak about this application?

MS. JABLESNIK: Go ahead, you can unmute yourself.

CHAIR SCALZO: Yes. Siobhan, who is it, do we know?

MS. JABLESNIK: George Koudounas.
CHAIR SCALZO: Mr. Koudounas.
MR. KOUDOUNAS: Yes. I just want to agree with Mr. Haras with what he says. And I'm just asking the Board to enforce the zoning and with the town. This has been our, you know, information, what we have seen about it, and we're asking you to respect and enforce the zoning, whatever it is. Thank you.

CHAIR SCALZO: Thank you.
Mr. Lynn, we heard from Mr. Koudounas, we also heard from Mr. Haras.

MR. LYNN: Yes. I'd like to address his comments.

CHAIR SCALZO: But let me ask you this,
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and if Mr. Haras is still on, where is Mr. Haras in relation to you?

MR. LYNN: He is -- so I'm prepared because I read his letter -- that he's pretty far off from where -- he's about 500 feet from where the new garage location would be. He has no visibility of the new building that would be put up at a11, unless he was to go all the way to the back edge of his property and just sit there and look across my lawn. So that's the answer to that question. I could show you on the map if you want me to walk up there.

CHAIR SCALZO: Is it on here on this or is it on the --

MR. LYNN: He's here.
CHAIR SCALZO: Okay.
MR. LYNN: And this is right where the garage is.

CHAIR SCALZO: I understand.
MR. LYNN: A 500 foot diagonal.
CHAIR SCALZO: So he's at the front of Lynn Drive?

MR. LYNN: No, he's on Lakeside Road, next to my mother's house. My mother's house
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and my brother's house are on the side of Lynn Drive, the next one over.

CHAIR SCALZO: All right. Close to Jodi Drive?

MR. LYNN: Correct.
CHAIR SCALZO: All right, thank you.
Any other members of the public wish to speak about this application? oh, I see a hand up, Siobhan. who is that?

MS. JABLESNIK: Maribel.
Go ahead.
MS. GARRIS: Okay. I'd like to say again that we're the closest neighbors, we're the closest neighbors to the Lynns. It's about 75 feet.

CHAIR SCALZO: Okay, thank you.
MS. GARRIS: So I can't understand why anyone else would have a problem.

CHAIR SCALZO: No, I --
MS. GARRIS: That's all.
CHAIR SCALZO: Your comments will be noted in the meeting minutes. Thank you.

Anyone else in the public have any new comments to this application?
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(No response.)
CHAIR SCALZO: No. Hearing none, I look to the Board for any last comments.

MR. LEVIN: Darrin, could you show us where his house is?

CHAIR SCALZO: Yes. You're talking about the gentleman that spoke --

MR. LEVIN: Yes.
CHAIR SCALZO: -- a moment ago? Okay. This is the access driveway into the applicant's property. The gentleman that spoke is here. Over where, right, yup, not this house but the one next to it that you can't see off the map.

MR. LEVIN: oh, okay.
CHAIR SCALZO: You can't see this.
Very good. All right, so at this point I'11 look to, if the Board feels as though they have enough information here --

MR. LYNN: I wanted to make another comment just based on what Mr. Haras had to say. Because here's an individual who lives very -fairly close, and he's quick to stop me and say that I don't need an accessory structure when on his property he has two additional accessory
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structures --
CHAIR SCALZO: I'm going to stop you there.

MR. LYNN: -- almost 2,000 square feet. I just want to make the point that a guy who is telling me that $I$ don't need something on my property has ample space and structures already existing on his.

CHAIR SCALZO: Thank you for your comments. It's -- he's not here in front of us but you are.
okay. So I look to the Board if we have enough information as though you feel we can close the public hearing? Does the Board have a motion?

MR. LEVIN: Close the public hearing.
MR. MASTEN: I'11 second it.
CHAIR SCALZO: A11 right, we have a motion from Mr. Levin to close to the public hearing, we have a second from Mr. Masten. A11 in favor?
(Chorus of ayes.)
CHAIR SCALZO: Opposed?
(No response.)
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CHAIR SCALZO: Very good. A11 right, Siobhan, if you can mute them.

MS. JABLESNIK: Yes, they're muted.
CHAIR SCALZO: They're muted, okay, very good.

A11 right. Here we go. Again, Type II action under SEQRA, counselor.

MR. DONOVAN: Correct, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIR SCALZO: I will go through the five factors. The first one being whether or not the benefit can be achieved by other means for the applicant. Well, the applicant has offered to reduce the size of what his initial application had shown. It's still substantial.

Mr. Marino?
MR. MARINO: No, I'm good with it, he's reduced it.

CHAIR SCALZO: Mr. MCKelvey, Mr. Levin?
MR. MCKELVEY: I'm good.
Mr. Hermance, Mr. Masten? No.
Second, if there's an undesirable change in the neighborhood character or a detriment to the nearby properties. We11, we've had mixed comments from neighbors. Some fee 1 as
though it would not change the neighborhood character, others feel as though it may.

The third, whether the request is substantial. By code at 60 percent over it is substantial.

The fourth, whether the request will have adverse physical or environmental effects. For that I really don't think so.

MR. LEVIN: No.
MR. MARINO: No.
CHAIR SCALZO: No. And the fifth, whether the alleged difficulty is self created, which is relevant but not determinative. Of course it's self created, but it's not relevant or determinative.

There, having gone through the factors, does the Board have, and we may impose reasonable conditions, does the Board have any motions to make?

MR. MARINO: Let me just ask a question. What we might be voting on would be the compromises or the cuts that Mr. Lynn is willing to make; is that correct?

CHAIR SCALZO: Mr. Marino --
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MR. MARINO: It doesn't have to be the original request?

CHAIR SCALZO: -- it can be exactly as you frame it. You know, we can -- it could stand as the application sits or it can be justified by what the applicant offered to reduce it by.

MR. MARINO: Okay. I am wiliing to support what he's willing to reduce it by.

CHAIR SCALZO: The reduction?
MR. MARINO: Yes.
MR. DONOVAN: Is that just a statement of support or is it a motion?

MR. MARINO: I'11 make a motion to that effect.

CHAIR SCALZO: A11 right. So Mr. Marino has made a motion for approval with the reduction that the applicant has indicated during his testimony.

MR. MASTEN: I'11 second it.
MR. DONOVAN: And if I can, just for clarification, so it's 1,288 square feet?

CHAIR SCALZO: That's correct.
MR. DONOVAN: With removal of the, for

Proceedings
lack of a better phrase, the lean-to, and reducing the width of the building to 28 feet? CHAIR SCALZO: Correct. That is the motion as it stands.

So we have a motion from Mr. Marino, we have a second from Mr. Masten. Siobhan, can you roll, please?

MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Hermance?
MR. HERMANCE: No.
MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Levin?
MR. LEVIN: Yes.
MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Marino?
MR. MARINO: Yes.
MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Masten?
MR. MASTEN: Yes.
MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. MCKelvey?
MR. McKELVEY: Yes.
MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Scalzo?
CHAIR SCALZO: No.
So what have we got, 4-2?
MS. JABLESNIK: Yes.
CHAIR SCALZO: Correct?
MR. DONOVAN: Correct.
CHAIR SCALZO: The motion still passes;
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## correct?

MR. DONOVAN: That's correct. It's a seven member board, four votes affirmative carries the motion, the variance is approved. CHAIR SCALZO: Good luck. MR. LYNN: All right. Thank you. (Time noted: 8:31 p.m.)
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MS. JABLESNIK: Step up to the mic, Charlie.

MR. BROWN: Good evening.
CHAIR SCALZO: Hang on one second there, Charlie.

MR. BROWN: Yup.
CHAIR SCALZO: I have to get my stuff
in order here.
A11 right, we are on to Robert
Dorrmann; correct?
MR. BROWN: That's correct.
CHAIR SCALZO: okay.
MR. BROWN: This one was here before the Board last month.

MR. DONOVAN: Hold on one second, Charlie.

MR. BROWN: Yup.
CHAIR SCALZO: No, Mr. Hermance and I both need to step away.

MR. BROWN: Oh, that's right.
CHAIR SCALZO: And, counselor, if you
could take over for this one for a short time.
MR. DONOVAN: Will do, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIR SCALZO: And Mr. Bell is back
online; correct?
MS. JABLESNIK: Yeah, he's just
unmuting himself.
CHAIR SCALZO: Okay.
(Chair Scalzo and Mr. Hermance exit meeting room.)

MR. DONOVAN: Charlie, just before you start, if I can just step into Chairman Scalzo's shoes and just summarize the application. This was before the Board last month.

MR. BROWN: Sure.
MR. DONOVAN: The public hearing is continued so we could hear from the county. what did we get back from the county?

MS. JABLESNIK: we did hear back, yeah. Local determination.

MR. DONOVAN: Local determination.
So this variance comes to us from the Planning Board. It's a two lot subdivision application in front of the Planning Board. Lot number one, where the new house will be built, has some preexisting accessory structures that will remain in their present location and are in the front yard and are not permitted. And
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Charlie, I believe that's why you're here tonight.

MR. BROWN: That is exactly why I'm here. This is a very big lot, it does back up to the Thruway, which is why two members have recused themselves. Again, the structures are existing. But we're replacing the -- the post house is about really the only place we can put it because of the terrain and the available soil for a viable septic system. So we have no other alternative but to come here and attempt to get a variance for it and tear the buildings down. My client has agreed to remove the trailer, which we were told we had to do by the Planning Board. So that's going to be on the map. And we'11 resubmit to the Planning Board based on what happens here.

MR. DONOVAN: So I'11 turn to the Board and ask, Mr. Bell, any comments? Is that sign language?

MS. JABLESNIK: I don't know. oh, yeah. I need to do that, hold on. All right, you're good.

MR. BELL: A11 right, there we go. I'm
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good, counselor.
MR. DONOVAN: Thank you, Mr. Bel1.
Mr. Masten, any comments?
MR. MASTEN: No.
MR. DONOVAN: Mr. Levin?
MR. LEVIN: No.
MR. DONOVAN: Mr. MCKe7vey?
MR. MCKELVEY: No.
CHAIR SCALZO: Mr. Marino?
MR. MARINO: Just one question about, I'm not clear on this, exactly what do we have, you want a Planning Board referral for a two lot division? I don't understand why it's here before us.

MR. DONOVAN: So, if I can, so the record will reflect I'm showing Mr. Marino the map, outlined in pink because I couldn't figure out where it was, is the new lot, lot number one, lot number two. These structures, I'm pointing to the existing shed and the existing barn, are going to be in the front yard. This has got a new house, these are already here. They are not allowed, so Charlie needs a variance to keep those two structures.
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MR. MARINO: Al1 right.
MR. DONOVAN: Got it?
MR. MARINO: How necessary is it to keep those two structures?

MR. BROWN: Well, it's actually three including the privy.

MR. DONOVAN: Oh, okay.
MR. BROWN: His mother likes that privy. I mean, again, it's -- there's no effect on the neighborhood because the lot is huge. It's surrounded by a lot of wetlands by the Thruway, nobody can see it. You know, you, he wants to keep them. He's a fireman also, and they like their toys and he needs to have a place to store them.

MR. DONOVAN: And how big is the lot, Charlie?

MR. BROWN: Oh, boy.
MR. DONOVAN: Yeah, it's big. I can't find it on the map. On the top?

MR. BROWN: Yeah, 24?
MR. DONOVAN: it's 24.6 acres.
Any other comments?
MR. MARINO: No.
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MR. DONOVAN: Anybody from the public, Siobhan?

MS. JABLESNIK: No, I don't think so.
MR. DONOVAN: Anybody have a motion relative to the public hearing? Let me not talk like a lawyer. Anyone want to make a motion to close the public hearing?

MR. MASTEN: I'11 make a motion to
close the public hearing.
MR. MARINO: Second.
MR. DONOVAN: All in favor?
(Chorus of ayes.)
MR. DONOVAN: So we'11 go through the five factors. The first factor is whether or not these accessory structures, if they're allowed to remain, will result in any undesirable change in the neighborhood or detriment to that neighborhood. Anybody think that they would be a detriment at all? They're already there.

MR. MCKELVEY: No.
MR. LEVIN: I don't think so.
MR. DONOVAN: So the second factor is whether or not there's a feasible way for the applicant to proceed without the variances. And
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I suppose you could remove the structures, right, but you've heard his reasons why he doesn't want to do that.

The next factor is whether or not the variances are substantial. And Charlie, relative to the size of the property these structures look pretty smal1.

MR. BROWN: Oh, yeah.
MR. DONOVAN: Anybody fee1 that it's
substantial?
MR. LEVIN: No.
MR. BROWN: The biggest thing is the trailer that he's removing.

MR. DONOVAN: Any adverse physical or environmental effects?

MR. LEVIN: I don't believe so.
MR. McKELVEY: No.
MR. DONOVAN: And the last factor is whether or not the difficulty is self created, which of course it is.

Does anybody have a motion to approve or deny the application?

MR. MASTEN: I'11 make a motion we approve the application.
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MR. BELL: Second.
MR. DONOVAN: Motion by Mr. Masten, seconded by Mr. Bell. I have good ears. Roll cal 1.

MS. JABLESNIK: Roll call. Mr. Bell?
MR. BELL: Yes.
MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Levin?
MR. LEVIN: Yes.
MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Marino?
MR. MARINO: Yes.
MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Masten?
MR. MASTEN: Yes.
MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. MCKelvey?
MR. McKELVEY: Yes.
MR. BROWN: Thank you. Do you want me to get the boys?

MR. DONOVAN: Yes, please.
(Chair Scalzo and Mr. Hermance return to the meeting room.)

CHAIR SCALZO: Thank you for taking over, counselor.

MR. DONOVAN: I tried not to let it get to my head.
(Time noted: 8:39 p.m.)
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CHAIR SCALZO: All right, I believe this is the last item on the agenda for this evening. Jose Lema, 61 South Plank Road, Newburgh, a continuation of the public hearing. Mr. Lema is seeking area variances for maximum lot building coverage, maximum lot surface coverage and maximum allowed square footage of an accessory structure to keep a $35^{\prime}$ by 16' accessory building and paved courts.

As I mentioned, this is a continuation of the public hearing. We were looking for some updated information. I happened to drive by there myself Sunday evening, and what a party they had going on. So it was quite interesting. But and also, for the record, this, from what $I$ understand this applicant applied for a building permit, did not wait for a building permit, did not wait for a variance and went and built the structure anyway.

MR. BROWN: It's my understanding he did not even apply for a building permit, he just built the structure.

CHAIR SCALZO: Okay.
MR. BROWN: So, and then the town cited
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him based upon neighbors' complaints. And when I went to look at the property I saw the structure and had no record of it.

CHAIR SCALZO: okay.
MR. BROWN: That's my understanding of it. I had a little -- they have a bilingual employee that I used an interpreter. But he built the structure, you were asking, yes, he did. He built it without a permit. And he did put in the volleyball court. I don't know who in their right mind would play volleyball on blacktop, that's not the way $I$ used to play. CHAIR SCALZO: Quite a few people, Charlie, I happened to see it Sunday night. You'd have been surprised. I can show you pictures.

MR. BROWN: He did get cited again last week by the Building Department, and as a result he has taken down the lights. The maps are modified. They show removal of the existing concrete area. We are cutting at the edge of the volleyball court, the top part of the court, and removing that. And there's an old sidewalk there that was to a house that was on that lot.
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He is not before the Planning Board to amend his lots, he's been doing that with the assessor's office. And I believe that was all that we were asked. The additional notes on the drawings, I added a note, "required compliance with", because I was surprised, the lighting and noise wasn't in, it happened to be the same ordinance in the Town of Newburgh.

CHAIR SCALZO: Okay. So from what you had just said there have been modifications that the Town Building Department has not evaluated, or if they have, we haven't gotten copies of that.

MR. BROWN: They haven't seen the updated site plan. And as far as the notes on there, $I$ think that's it.

CHAIR SCALZO: Okay. Well, but the application here -- well, actually in the application here we're looking at quite a few things. I would like the Building Department to have an opportunity to evaluate these modifications that you're talking about. You said they were cited last week.

For the Board members that were here at
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the time, if you -- oh, Mr. Marino stepped out. We had an applicant at the corner of Eastview Road and Fifth Avenue, do you remember? I can't remember how to pronounce his last name.

MR. MARINO: Oh, yeah.
CHAIR SCALZO: It was Rich something. But they had a bunch of code issues that need to be cleaned up before we -- before we acted on that application. Also, the Darrigo Solar Farm, they also had a bunch of outstanding code violations that we also required them to have cleaned up before we acted on their application. My opinion is that I would like to have that same condition here. Counselor, am I stepping out of my lane here to ask that?

MR. DONOVAN: We11, no, you're not. I mean, there is precedent, the Board has done it before. Especially we have a situation that's in flux, the application is before us and some things have changed since the application was submitted. And I think the Board needs to have a11 the information necessary to move forward. So I think, and Charlie has indicated that code compliance hasn't seen, I don't know if you
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submitted anything to them or you didn't submit anything, you didn't have to, but it would be good for them to see, because if they flag something else that's a problem, then it's something else that needs to be addressed that you're going to want to address at this level I would think.

MR. BROWN: We11, they've actually been doing pretty good about doing joint inspections with me lately, so I have no problem contacting the code compliance, conformance office to try and schedule an inspection out there.

CHAIR SCALZO: Okay. And that wil1
allow the code compliance office to update what their latest --

MR. BROWN: Right.
CHAIR SCALZO: -- their violation sheet to us would be.

MR. BROWN: Right.
CHAIR SCALZO: I'm not going to speak for the other members of the Board, but I would prefer to keep this, the public hearing open.

MR. HERMANCE: Agreed.
CHAIR SCALZO: But I'm going to -- any,
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actually, yeah, any comments from anyone?
Mr. Masten, do you have anything to add to this?
MR. MASTEN: I have nothing.
CHAIR SCALZO: No. Mr. Hermance?
MR. HERMANCE: No.
CHAIR SCALZO: Mr. Levin, Mr. McKe7vey, Mr. Marino?

MR. MARINO: Just out of curiosity, did we ever get an explanation as to why there were such crowds there playing volleyball or partying or whatever they're doing?

MR. BROWN: He told me, he told me it was family and friends.

MR. MARINO: Every weekend in the summer time?

MR. BROWN: That's what he told me.
CHAIR SCALZO: Everybody's, you know, you can't put a price on another man's pleasure. If you like to have a party every weekend both days, well --

MR. BROWN: I wouldn't know how to verify that except for crashing the party.

CHAIR SCALZO: I would, as I say, I saw it Sunday, I was going to walk in with the
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application and see if $I$ could play some volleyba11.

But do any members of the pub1ic want to speak about this application?
(No response.)
CHAIR SCALZO: It doesn't appear so. At this point I'11 look to the Board. Do you have a motion to either close or keep the public hearing open?

MR. MCKELVEY: I make a motion to keep it open.

CHAIR SCALZO: we have a motion from Mr. MCKe7vey.

MR. LEVIN: I'11 second.
CHAIR SCALZO: we have a second from Mr. Levin. All in favor of keeping the public hearing open to the April meeting?
(Chorus of ayes.)
CHAIR SCALZO: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHAIR SCALZO: Charlie, we'11 see you in April.

MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you a11, have a good evening.
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CHAIR SCALZO: Thank you.
The other Board business we have, have you folks seen the meeting minutes for the February meeting, and if so, can we vote to accept those meeting minutes?

MR. BELL: Yes.
MR. HERMANCE: Yes, I make a motion to accept it.

MR. BELL: Approve them.
CHAIR SCALZO: Very good. I think we had a motion from Mr. Bell, we have a second from Mr. Hermance. Sure we do. All in favor?
(Chorus of ayes.)
CHAIR SCALZO: Very good.
Do we have any other Board business,
Siobhan?
MS. JABLESNIK: No.
CHAIR SCALZO: Then the only other thing is to close the meeting.

MR. MCKELVEY: I make a motion.
CHAIR SCALZO: All right, we have a motion from Mr. McKelvey, a second from me. A11 in favor?
(Chorus of ayes.)
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CHAIR SCALZO: The meeting is adjourned. Thank you so much.
(Time noted: 8:45 p.m.)
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CHAIR SCALZO: Pardon me, Siobhan, did we get any formal communication from SNK Petroleum --

MS. JABLESNIK: No.
CHAIR SCALZO: -- that they would not be here?

MS. JABLESNIK: Nothing written, just a phone call.

CHAIR SCALZO: Okay. We believe we may have a representative of SNK here?

MR. DOMBAL: Yes.
CHAIR SCALZO: okay.
MR. DOMBAL: Matter of fact, I believe Ken is supposed to be here too tonight.

MS. JABLESNIK: He called me and said to take him off the agenda.

MR. DOMBAL: Tonight?
MS. JABLESNIK: Yeah.
CHAIR SCALZO: Well, SNK happens to be
last on the agenda. Perhaps you can step out --

MR. DOMBAL: Yeah. Thank you.
CHAIR SCALZO: -- into the foyer and straighten that out.
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MR. DOMBAL: Yes, thank you.
MS. JABLESNIK: I had a very long week but I am definitely positive that phone call happened.

CHAIR SCALZO: Okay.
(The following proceedings were had later in the meeting:)

MR. DOMBAL: I apologize, but SNK is not on the agenda, we are not on for tonight. MS. JABLESNIK: I knew I wasn't crazy. CHAIR SCALZO: If anyone is here to speak about the application for SNK Petroleum Wholesalers, we11, actually, in this case, sir, just so you can hear as you're stepping away, this one has been on for quite a while, so in this case, because the notices were sent out so long ago, we're going to actually make you re-notice.

MR. DOMBAL: Okay, that's fine.
CHAIR SCALZO: Okay.
MR. DOMBAL: That's fine. We'll be in touch once things get worked out.

MS. JABLESNIK: Yeah, absolutely.
CHAIR SCALZO: Very good.

## Proceedings

MR. DOMBAL: Thank you. Have a good evening.
(Time noted: 7:36 p.m.)

Proceedings
CE RT IF IC A TE
STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF ORANGE ) ss :

I, KARI L. REED, a Shorthand Reporter (Stenotype) and Notary Public with and for the State of New York, do hereby certify:

I reported the proceedings in the within-entitled matter and that the within transcript is a true record of such proceedings.

I further certify that I am not related, by blood or marriage, to any of the parties in this matter and that $I$ am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 14th day of April, 2021.

Kari. Reed
KARI L. REED

